In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1991

PLANNED PARENTHOOD OF SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVA-NIA, REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH AND COUNSELING CENTER, WOMEN'S HEALTH SERVICES, INC., WOMEN'S SUBURBAN CLINIC, ALLENTOWN WOMEN'S CENTER, AND THOMAS ALLEN, M.D., ON BEHALF OF HIMSELF AND ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,

Petitioners/Cross-Respondents,

ν.

ROBERT P. CASEY, ALLAN S. NOONAN, AND ERNEST D. PREATE, JR., PERSONALLY AND IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES,

Respondents and Cross-Petitioners.

ON WRITS OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF FOR PETITIONERS AND CROSS-RESPONDENTS

LINDA J. WHARTON CAROL E. TRACY Women's Law Project 125 South Ninth Street Suite 401 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107 (215) 928-9801 KATHRYN KOLBERT
(Counsel of Record)
JANET BENSHOOF
LYNN M. PALTROW
RACHAEL N. PINE
ANDREW DWYER
ELLEN K. GOETZ
STEVEN R. SHAPIRO
JOHN A. POWELL
American Civil Liberties Union
Foundation
132 W. 43rd Street
New York, New York 10036
(212) 944-9800

Attorneys for Petitioners and Cross-Respondents (Counsel continued on inside cover)

SETH KREIMER
University of Pennsylvania
Law School
3400 Chestnut Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104
(215) 898-7447

ROGER K. EVANS EVE W. PAUL DARA KLASSEL Planned Parenthood Action Fund, Inc. 810 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10019 (215) 541-7800

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OCTOBER TERM, 1991

NOS. 91-744 and 91-902

PLANNED PARENTHOOD OF SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA, REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH AND COUNSELING CENTER, WOMEN'S HEALTH SERVICES, INC., WOMEN'S SUBURBAN CLINIC, ALLENTOWN WOMEN'S CENTER, and THOMAS ALLEN, M.D., on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Petitioners and Cross-Respondents,

- v. -

ROBERT P. CASEY, ALLAN S. NOONAN, and ERNEST D. PREATE, JR., personally and in their official capacities,

Respondents and Cross-Petitioners.

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF FOR PETITIONERS AND CROSS-RESPONDENTS

Following the filing of the Reply Brief in this case, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit issued its opinion in *Guam Society of Obstetricians and Gynecologists v. Ada*, No. 90-16706 (9th Cir. Apr. 16, 1992), affirming the decision of the district court, 772 F.

Supp. 1422 (D. Guam 1990), invalidating Guam's criminal statute banning abortion. The court of appeals predicated its decision on finding that the strict scrutiny standard of Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973), remains appropriate standard for evaluating constitutionality of abortion regulations. See 12sa ("If the core of Roe remains good law, then, the Act is clearly unconstitutional."). Supporting petitioners' argument that the reliance on Roe by a generation of Americans counsels strongly in favor of adherence to stare decisis, the court of appeals specifically recognized that Roe "has affected the lives and rights of millions of people," 16sa. The court of appeals also recognized that "[t]here clearly must be limits to the ability of a state's interest in potential life . . . to override all conflicting interests." 14sa. Otherwise, a state could forbid contraception, or "required regular sexual intercourse by all fertile 15sa. See P.B. 29-30, 40. persons." The opinion is attached as Appendix A.

Respectfully submitted,

LINDA J. WHARTON CAROL E. TRACY Women's Law Project 125 South Ninth Street Suite 401 Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 928-9801 KATHRYN KOLBERT
(Counsel of Record)
JANET BENSHOOF
LYNN M. PALTROW
RACHAEL N. PINE
ANDREW DWYER
ELLEN K. GOETZ
STEVEN R. SHAPIRO
JOHN A. POWELL
American Civil Liberties
Union Foundation
132 W. 43rd Street
New York, NY 10036
(212) 944-9800

SETH KREIMER
University of
Pennsylvania Law
School
3400 Chestnut Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104
(215) 898-7447

ROGER K. EVANS EVE W. PAUL DARA KLASSEL Planned Parenthood Action Fund, Inc. 810 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019 (212) 541-7800

Attorneys for Petitioners and Cross-Respondents